| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION | 21 MC 100 (AKH) | | |
| AWILDA ASENCIO-NUNEZ AND MANUEL O NUNEZ | DOCKET NO. | | |
| Plaintiffs, - against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., | CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY | | |
| SEE ATTACHED RIDER, Defendants. | | | |
| By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006. NOTICE OF ADOPTION | | | |
| All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an '\(\mathbb{T}\)' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below. | | | |
| Plaintiffs, AWILDA ASENCIO-NUNEZ AND MANUEL O NUNEZ, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege: | | | |
| I. PARTIES | | | |
| A. PLAINTIFF(S) | | | |
| 1. Plaintiff, AWILDA ASENCIO-NU individual and a citizen of Florida residing at 1870 Des FL 34116 | - | | |
| 2. Alternatively, \square is t | | | |
| Please read this document carefully. | | | |

1 of 9

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

| 3. ☑ Plaintiff, Manuel O Nunez (hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff'), is a citizen of Florida residing at 1870 DeSoto Boulevard, P.O. Box 990987, South Naples, FL 34116-, and has the following relationship to the Injured Plaintiff: ☑ SPOUSE at all relevant times herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff AWILDA ASENCIO-NUNEZ, and brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the injuries sustained by her husband (his wife), Plaintiff AWILDA ASENCIO-NUNEZ. ☐ Parent ☐ Child ☐ Other: | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 4. In the period from 9/11/2001 to 5/30/2 Police Department (NYPD) as a Police Officer at: | 2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York filling in the following dates and locations | | |
| The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.) From on or about 9/11/2001 until 5/30/2002; Approximately 12 hours per day; for | The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total. | | |
| Approximately $\underline{262}$ days total. =================================== | Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below: From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite: | | |
| ☐ The Fresh Kills Landfill From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total. | | | |
| | aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information. | | |
| 5. Injured Plaintiff ✓ Was exposed to and breathed noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated above; ✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above; ✓ Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates the site(s) indicated above; | | | |
| Other: Not yet determined. Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document. | | | |

6.

| U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § | Injured | l Plaintiff |
|--|---------|---|
| 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any | V | §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 |
| by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any | | 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 |
| 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any | | , , , |
| | | 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any |

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

| ☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK | ☑ A RUSSO WRECKING |
|--|--|
| ☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and | ☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC. |
| served on and | ☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC. |
| | ☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, |
| ☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50- | INC. |
| h the CITY held a hearing on(OR) | ☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. |
| ☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as | ☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED |
| required by General Municipal Law §50-h | HAULING, LLC, INC. |
| \square More than thirty days have passed and | ☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP |
| the City has not adjusted the claim | ☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL |
| (OR) | CORPORATION |
| ☐ An Order to Show Cause application to | ☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC. |
| ☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of | ☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION |
| Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant | ☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. |
| Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim | ☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, |
| Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of | INC. |
| Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a | ☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION |
| determination | CORP |
| is pending | ☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. |
| ☐ Granting petition was made on | ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC. |
| ☐ Denying petition was made on | ☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP |
| | ☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. |
| ☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND | ☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP. |
| NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"] | ☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C. |
| ☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served | ✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP |
| pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The | ☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP |
| Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New | ☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF |
| York on | NEW YORK, INC. |
| \square More than sixty days have elapsed since | ☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC |
| the Notice of Claim was filed, (and) | ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. |
| ☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has | ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH |
| adjusted this claim | ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP |
| ☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not | ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. |
| adjusted this claim. | ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC. |
| | ☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC. |
| ☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | ☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS |
| ☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | CORP |
| ☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | ☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY |
| □ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC. |
| ☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | \square EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. |
| ☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. |
| ☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | ☑ EN-TECH CORP |
| ☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | ☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL |
| ☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P. | □EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL |

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

| ☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner | ☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Name: |
| Business/Service Address: | |
| Building/Worksite Address: | Building/Worksite Address: |
| ☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee | - |
| Name: | |
| Business/Service Address: | |
| Building/Worksite Address: | |

Case 1:07-cv-10736-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

| ☑ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☑; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has | | | | |
|--|---|----------|---|--|
| remov | val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 | U.S.C | C. § 1441. | |
| | III CAUSES OF ACTION | | | |
| Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law: | | | | |
| V | Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240 | V | Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation | |
| ✓ | Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6) | | ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided | |
| | Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a | | (specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined | |
| V | Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e | | Wrongful Death | |
| | | V | Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff | |

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-10736-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

| | Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: | [| √ | Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 1/1/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date |
|---|---|---|----------|---|
| ✓ | Respiratory Injury: Cough; Sarcoidosis; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: 11/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date | | ▼ | Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 1/15/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date |
| | Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: | [| ✓ | Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Rashes/Itching, Sores, Lesions; Sleep Problems Date of onset: 11/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date |

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

| damages: | | |
|--------------|---|---|
| | Pain and suffering | ✓ Medical monitoring✓ Other: Not yet determined. |
| \checkmark | Loss of the enjoyment of life | |
| V | Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity | |
| \checkmark | Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits | |
| V | Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation | |
| V | Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability | |

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 6, 2007

Yours, etc.,

O Nunez

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Awilda Asencio-Nunez and Manuel

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466) 115 Broadway

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice

in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 6, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK AWILDA ASENCIO-NUNEZ (AND HUSBAND, MANUEL O NUNEZ), Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at Μ. Dated, Yours, etc.,

WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP